Interview Summary

Application No. 10/010,058 Applicant(s)

ADAMS et al.

Examiner

Emily Bernhardt

Art Unit 1624

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):
(1) Emily Bernhardt	(3)
(2) Ms. Ebel	
Date of Interview 3/30/03	_
Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant	2) applicant's representative]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes	e) 🛛 No. If yes, brief description:
Claim(s) discussed:	
Identification of prior art discussed:	
any other comments: Ms. Ebel called to request a clarification of restriction mails and II. Upon review of the restriction the examiner indicate in Group I and II.In Group I A2 = aryl, cycloalkyl and substituted derivatives. Said groups as indicated in the restriction.	I nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or ed 3/11/03 noting the varying fields of classification between I ed that the nature of the A2 group should have been included
allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no available, a summary thereof must be attached.) i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sepa Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORM INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MF already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.	dments which the examiner agreed would render the claims copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is trate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked). MAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST PEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has OM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE rd of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is	Z Bemliaill

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required